

REMARKS

The present application was filed on October 30, 2003 with claims 1-36. Claims 1-28 have been canceled without prejudice and claims 29-36 remain pending.

In the Office Action dated April 9, 2007, the Examiner rejected claims 1-36 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Huang et al., U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0056029 (hereinafter “Huang”). Claims 1-28 have been canceled without prejudice and claims 29-36 remain pending. Applicants are not conceding in this application that claims 1-28 are not patentable over the art cited by the Examiner, Applicants respectfully reserve the right to pursue these and other claims in one or more continuations and/or divisional patent applications.

Applicants assert that claims 29-36 are patentable for at least the reasons presented in the previous Amendment and Response to Office Action. Specifically, Applicants assert that while Huang discloses the collection of user selections for selection of needed files to be included in a JAR file, it fails to disclose the recording of a procedure description comprising a series of actions performed by a user. Further, while Huang discloses the customization of a JAR file, it fails to describe the installation of a user-interface control, and also fails to disclose that the user-interface control relates to the procedure description comprising a series of actions performed by the user in the user-interface.

In view of the above, Applicants believe that claims 29-36 are in condition for allowance, and respectfully request withdrawal of the §102(e) rejection.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert W. Griffith
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 48,956
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP
90 Forest Avenue
Locust Valley, NY 11560
(516) 759-4547

Date: July 31, 2007